REMARKS

This substitute amendment correctly indicates the status of the claims and amends the dependency of claim 20.

Allowed claims

Allowance of claims 1 and 2 is noted.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. Section 101

Claims 9-11 and 20 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. Section 101 as being out of compliance with the guidelines set forth in the OG notice of November 22, 2005. Reconsideration is requested.

Claim 9 is amended to include the subject matter of claim 10, marking said board if said inductance is not in said predetermined range. This is a "useful, concrete and tangible result." State Street, 149 F.3d at 1373.

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, allowance of claims 9-11 and 20 is respectfully solicited. If the Examiner believes it would help to advance the prosecution, the undersigned attorney would welcome the opportunity to discuss the application in a telephone interview and can be reached at (312) 201-0011.

Dated this 1st day of November, 2006.

Respectfully submitted,

Roger J. French Reg. No. 27,786

BEEM PATENT LAW FIRM

53 W. Jackson Blvd, Suite 1352

Chicago IL 60604-3787

Tel. (312) 201-0011